

#### Dynamic Response and Tunnel Damage from Explosion Loading

#### Dr Zhou Yingxin Defence Science & Technology Agency Singapore

Presented at the International Symposium on Defence Construction 2002, Singapore

#### **Explosives Storage Safety**



- Design must consider accidental explosion (airblast, ground shock, debris, fire)
- Internal Safety
  - Chamber separation
  - Prevention of sympathetic detonation
- External Safety
  - Inhabited buildings
  - Public transport route
  - Workshops

#### Large-scale Tests for Underground Storage



Collaboration with Swedish Defence Research Agency and Armed Forces HQ

## Validation of underground facility design

- Airblast propagation
- Door pressure and response
- Ground shock,
- Debris hazards
- Response of tunnels (at criterion distances)



#### **Layout of Test Facility**





#### **Test Facility Layout – 3D View**







#### **Considerations in Tunnel Design**

- 10-ton explosives charge weight
- Fragment loading (155 mm rounds)
- Repeated blasts (3-4 year programme)
- Safety considerations (need to go into tunnel after test)

#### Requirements for Tunnel Design

- Rock mass properties (can't take everything for granite!)
- Ground shock prediction
- Tunnel damage criteria (if you know what it means)

#### **Rock Mass Properties**



Rock type	Red porphry syenite with grey granitic intrusion
Density	2620 kg/m <sup>3</sup>
Uniaxial compressive strength	200-250 MPa
Uniaxial tensile strength (based on point load tests)	12.5 – 17.5 MPa
Rock mass quality	Avg Q value: 15-20

Trängslet KBH 1. Låda 13. Meter 126.48-135.93

tra-0510	KRH (	LADA 13	126.46 - 13	
The second se				
		all the second		
	7	द		
State of the second				
C. C.	A DECEMBER OF STREET	· / )·	A	
	R.R.	Q D		
and the second second	and the state			
(. 1. (. 1.		and the second second	A manufacture of the second	
2		X	and the second second	



#### **Ground Shock Prediction**

#### **Sources of Ground Shock**



Sources	Illustration	Characteristics
Tunnelling /	*	Fully coupled charge
mining – blasting		Low charge weight
	Existing tunnel	Multiple delays
		Repetitive blasting
Conventional		Limited charge weight
weapons –		Fully coupled or contact explosion
penetration bomb		Penetration & Cratering effects
Nuclear weapons	Error F	Largest charge weight (kt or Mt) Large displacement
		Generally indirect-induced shock
Ammo storage –	*	Low probability
accidental		Large charge weight
explosion	Donor chamber	Low loading density

#### **Empirical PPV Equation**



 $V = H \left( \frac{R}{\Omega^B} \right)$ 

H = constant; B = scaling law;

n = attenuation coefficient

#### Parameters for Coupled Explosions DS



#### $H = (500/C^{2.17})/(\rho C)$ , mm/s

Rock Type	Rock Mass	Seismic Velocity, C,	Initial Value, H (mm /	Attenuation Coefficient,	Attenuation Coefficient,
	Density, ρ, kg/m3	m/s	sec)	n D < 6	n D > 6
Good	> 2600	5100-6000	5000	1.5	1.2
Fair	2300- 2600	4100-5100	4000	1.8	1.5
Poor	< 2300	3500-4100	3000	2.3	1.8

 $D = R/Q^{1/3}$ , scaled range, m/kg<sup>1/3</sup> Conservative estimate for spherical charges

#### **Correction Factors for PPV**



- Charge geometry (distributed vs concentrated charge)
- Decoupled explosions (explosives not in full contact with rock)

#### **PPV Correction Factor for Decoupled Explosions**





#### **PPV Prediction - Slot Wall**



Charge weight	10000 kg
Fully coupled PPV	$5000(R/Q^{1/3})^{-1.5}$ = 5000(14/10000^{1/3})^{-1.5} = 10,760 mm/s
PPV correction for charge geometry	0.6 – 0.8
Decoupling factor	0.116 – 0.23
Predicted PPV for slot wall (incipient)	10,760x0.6x(0.116-0.23) = 748-1,485 mm/s

#### **Ground Shock Curves**







# Tunnel Damage – What does it mean?

#### Damage of Unlined Tunnels – a Sample of Definitions



- Slight damage
- Medium damage
- Severe damage
- Intermittent failure
- Local failure
- General failure
- Tight closure
- Blow out

- Incipient swelling
- Incipient damage
- Dislodge of rock section
- Large displacement
- Minor damage
- Damage!

#### **Damage by Earthquakes**





Slot wall: PPV = 0.75-1.5 m/s

Calculated PPV and associated damage to underground excavations by earthquakes, Brady, 1991

#### Damage of Swedish Hard Rock (Persson, 1997)



### Tunnel Damage (Li & Huang,1994)

Rock	<b>Rock Parameters</b>			Peak Particle Velocity, mm/s			/s
Туре	Unit Weight (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	Comp. strength (Ppa)	Tensile Strength (MPa)	No Damage	Slight Damage (slight cracking)	Medium Damage (partial collapse)	Serious Damage (large collapse)
Hard	2.6-2.7	75-110	2.1-3.4	270	540	820	1530
Rock	2.7-2.9	110-180	3.4-5.1	310	620	960	1780
	2.72.9	180-200	5.1-5.7	360	720	1110	2090
Soft	2.0-2.5	40-100	1.1-3.1	290	580	900	1670
Rock	2.0-2.5	100-160	3.4-4.5	350	700	1070	1990

## 1-D Elastic Calculations (Zukas, 1982)



 A saw-tooth wave pulse travelling along a rock bar

$$V_{SP} = \frac{2\sigma_m - \sigma_{DT}}{\rho C} = 2ppv - \frac{\sigma_{DT}}{\rho C}$$
$$\sigma_m = ppv(\rho C)$$

 $V_{SP}$  = velocity of the first spall;  $s_m$  = magnitude of incipient stress;  $\sigma_{DT}$  = dynamic tensile strength of rock;  $\rho$  = rock mass density, kg/m<sup>3</sup>; C = seismic wave velocity in rock, m/s.



# UET Tests, Sandstone (after Hendron, 1977)



	Cra	nter			Tunnel	<u>R</u>
						A
	Damage Zone	1	2	3	4	
ĺ	Damage	tight	General	Local	Intermitten	
		closure	failure	failure	t failure	
	Free-field radial strain	NA	40	13	3-6	
	Free-field ppv, m/s	NA	12	4	0.9-1.8	
Ì	Calculated thickness of 1st		0.3-1.4	1-4.2	2-18.5	
	spall, m					
ĺ	Calculated number of spalls		11	4	1	

#### **1-D Spall Calculation for UET**



#### Explosive Testing of Tunnel Response (Dowding, 1984)



Туре	Strain%	PPV, m/s
Unlined tunnel:		
Joint movement, fall of loose rock		0.3
Intermittent failure	0.015	2.0
Local failure	0.04	3.6
Complete closure	0.1	
Lined tunnel:		
Cracking of liner	0.02	1.0
Displacement of cracks		1.3
Local failure	0.15	7.4
Complete failure	0.8	40.0

#### **Design of Tunnel Support**



- Unlined tunnel can sustain ground shock of PPV = 1.0-2.0 mm/s before damage begins
- Static support design specified fibre-reinforced shotcrete and rock bolts for increased performance against dynamic loads
- Swedish Armed Forces HQ Requirements: all military facilities in rock must use dynamic rock bolts

#### **Swedish Dynamic Rock Bolts Anchor Section** 3 3 0.3 FORANKRINGSLÄNGD **Smooth Section** 3 2 5 Plain shotcrete Reinforced shotcrete





#### **LST - Instrumentation**



Organisation	Gauge Type	2000	2001	Remarks
FOI	Air Blast – Chamber	3	3	
	Airblast – Tunnel	21	21	
	Airblast – External	8	8	
	Ground Shock	40	40	
	Strain	8	8	
	Temperature	1	12	New - 11
	Smoke puffs	0	0	Consider for future tests
NDCS	Air Blast	11	11	
	Ground Shock	16	16	
	Airblast Induced	0	2	New
	Ground shock			
	Geophones	8	8	
DTRA	Chamber – Pressure	2	2	
	Chamber – Bargauge	2	2	
	Pressure – External	4	8	Stings (4)
	Accelerometer	8	12	
	Radar – Fragment Vel.	1	2	
	Time of Arrival	0	15	New
		133	170	

#### **Ground Shock Gauges**





#### **Shotcrete Pannels in Slot Tunnel**



#### **TNT Bare Charge (Test #3)**





TEST NO.	NEQ (KG)	CHARGE TYPE	OBJECTIVES/ DESCRIPTION
1	10	Bare charge	Ground shock calibration
2	500	Bare charge	Loading density 0.5 kg/m <sup>3</sup>
3	10000	Bare charge	Loading density 10 kg/m <sup>3</sup>
4a	2500	Bare Charge	Loading density 2.5 kg/m <sup>3</sup>
4b	10000	Cased Charge	Cased charge Test Loading density 10 kg/m <sup>3</sup>



#### Vide of Test #3 - 10000 Kg TNT





#### Chamber



- 10 craters in floor underneath charge
- No rock fall from roof!



**Overview of Chamber** 



Crater

#### Video Of Slot During Test #3 Technology Agency Barricade Tunnel Adit Old Klotz Group Tunnel Existing Access Tunnel **Slot Tunnel** Debri: Detonation Chamber Slot Tunnel

#### **Slot Tunnel**



- No visible damage of tunnel wall
- Slight soil movement on floor



#### **Shotcrete Wall**



#### **Slot Tunnel**



Barricade

• Lights (and all other fixtures) fully functional after detonation





#### **VERTICAL BOREHOLE**





#### **HORIZONTAL BOREHOLE**







#### **PPV's from Test #3**







#### Fragment Loading (Test #4b)





#### Video of Test #4b





#### **Damage in Chamber**



- Spalling of shotcrete layer
- Still no rock fall from roof!



#### **Slot Tunnel**



- Lights (and fixtures) still fully functional during and after the test
- Damaged shotcrete fell off to floor



#### **Light Fixtures**



#### **Comparison of PPV's**





#### **Effects of Fragment Loading**



ltems	Test #3	Test #4b
Min PPV, m/s	0.94	0.62
Ratio of Min PPV	1.00	0.66
Max PPV, m/s	1.70	1.84
Ratio of Max PPV	1.00	1.09
Average PPV, m/s	1.39	0.98
Ratio of Avg PPV	1.00	0.70
Equivalent TNT	1.00	0.54
Ratio		

Mostly fragments from outer row of rounds were loading the tunnel walls

#### **Computed Seismic Velocity**



Test and Charge	Peak Chamber Pressure, MPa	Average PPV on Tunnel Wall, mm/s	Time of Arrival, Ms	Calculated Seismic Velocity, m/s
Test 1 – 10 ton bare TNT	100	1390	3.07	4,636
Test 2 – 2.5 ton bare TNT		622	3.26	4,268
Test 3 – 10 ton TNT (1450 155mm shells)	50	977	3.28	4,294
Ratio of Seismic Velocity after Test 2				0.93

#### Conclusions



- Fresh rock damage appears to begin at PPV's of 1-2 m/s
- At incipient PPV's of 2-4 m/s, static support with rock bolts and fibre-reinforced shotcrete sufficient for tunnels in competent rock
- For low loading densities (10 kg/m<sup>3</sup>), tunnels sited at 0.6Q<sup>1/3</sup> in hard rock can remain fully functional against ground shock loading

#### Finally,



### If in doubt . . .

## . . . build in rock



## **THANK YOU**



## **THANK YOU**